



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,348	04/26/2001	Martin Essing	5029-39	8539
75	90 02/12/2004		EXAM	NER
Thomas C. Pontani, Esq.			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane				
Suite 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
551 Fifth Avenue			3727	
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/843,348	ESSING, MARTIN
Navioury Notion	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a not places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>15</u> .
10. Other:		
		Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is important to note that the anti-surge element is placed within a fuel tank at the top wall and prevents the splashing and surging of fuel within the tank from entering the ventilation device. It prevents liquid flow while allowing gas to flow and it need only prevent flow in a splash or surge situation rather than a gravity fed situation. One having ordinary skill in the art would look to other fuel components because of there compatibility with fuel and would look to other devices capable of inhibiting flow .